

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/589,588 | 08/16/2006 | Horst Sonnendorfer | RWS-619327 | 2702 |
| 24131 7590 03/30/2010 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP | | EXAM | INER | |
| PO BOX 248 | 0 | • | COOLMAN, VAUGHN | |
| HOLLYWOO | D, FL 33022-2480 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3618 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/30/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/589,588 | SONNENDORFER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| VALIGHN T. COOLMAN | 3618 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

| Gaill | ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFX 1.704(b). | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Status | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 February 2010</u> . | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | |
| ΔV | Claim(s) 7.11 and 12 is/are pending in the application | | |

| 4) Claim(s) 7,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application. | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdrawn | from consideration |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| e/M | Claim(e) 7 11 and 12 is/are rain | eted | |

- Claim(s) <u>7,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

| 12) Ackno | wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
|-----------|--|
| a)∏ All | b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: |
| 1. | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. |
| 2. | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. |

 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

| Attachment(s) | | |
|---|--|--|
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informat Fator Labellication | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | |

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, *had possession of the claimed invention*. Examiner notes that nowhere in the specification as originally filed is there a definition or example of ion-releasing surfaces or coatings that kill bacteria. Again, no meaningful rejection could be formed due to the totally unclear scope of the claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/02/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has provided no evidence of specific knowledge of these materials or coatings, yet they now form the basis for all of the claimed subject matter. Examiner likens applicant's arguments to describing a fuel that works in standard internal combustion engines and has 100 times the efficiency of gasoline and claiming a vehicle using said fuel, but not describing the chemical makeup of the fuel other than stating "the fuel will be put in the vehicle prior to use and thereby provide a vehicle getting 250 miles to the gallon".

Application/Control Number: 10/589,588

Art Unit: 3618

Specifically, "forming the ion-releasing surface during the production process", "forming the ion-releasing surface by coating with an additional coating", and "using a material that comprises ion-releasing parts" is not enough for one of ordinary skill in the art to make or use the invention. Describing characteristics that may or may not exist for the "material" that has yet to be named also does provide adequate disclosure.

Applicant's argument that anti-bacterial surfaces are desirable on shopping carts (a fact old and well known in the grocery cart art) and that "[t]hose of skill in the art will be able to select a suitable material for producing the shopping cart that will result in the surfaces with the required property" is very similar to the fuel analogy above (i.e. the required characteristics are higher efficiency fuel for engines and those with skill in the art will be able to select a fuel with the required efficiency).

Responding to Applicant's characterization of the prior art used in the previous rejection, Examiner notes that applicant describes hydrophobic surfaces as having antibacterial properties due to their inherent ability to "not give the contaminating matter sufficient purchase to adhere firmly" and "the moist environment necessary for [the fungi's] germination or survival is not available".

Examiner firmly requests that at least one example of the ion-releasing material be provided, rather than referring to the "appropriate material" or stating that "the surface is designed to be . . . ion-releasing".

Application/Control Number: 10/589,588

Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAUGHN T. COOLMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 10am-8pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-7742. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,588

Art Unit: 3618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VAUGHN T COOLMAN Examiner Art Unit 3618

/V. T. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 3618

/Hau V Phan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618